



Geometric rho-mixing property of the interarrival times of a stationary Markovian Arrival Process

Loïc Hervé, James Ledoux

► To cite this version:

Loïc Hervé, James Ledoux. Geometric rho-mixing property of the interarrival times of a stationary Markovian Arrival Process. Journal of Applied Probability, 2013, 50 (2), pp.598-601. hal-00838160v2

HAL Id: hal-00838160

<https://hal.science/hal-00838160v2>

Submitted on 23 Aug 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Geometric ρ -mixing property of the interarrival times of a stationary Markovian Arrival Process

L. Hervé and J. Ledoux*

12 October 2012

Abstract

In this note, the sequence of the interarrivals of a stationary Markovian Arrival process is shown to be ρ -mixing with a geometric rate of convergence when the driving process is ρ -mixing. This provides an answer to an issue raised in the recent paper [4] on the geometric convergence of the autocorrelation function of the stationary Markovian Arrival process.

KEYWORDS: Markov renewal process
AMS 60J05, 60K15

1 Introduction

We provide a positive answer to a question raised in [4] on the geometric convergence of the autocorrelation function associated with the interarrival times of a stationary m -state Markovian Arrival Process (MAP). Indeed, it is shown in [3, Prop. 3.1] that the increment sequence $\{T_n := S_n - S_{n-1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ associated with a discrete time stationary Markov additive process $\{(X_n, S_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is ρ -mixing with a geometric rate provided that the driving stationary Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ρ -mixing. There, \mathbb{X} may be any measurable set. In the case where the increments $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are non-negative random variables, $\{(X_n, S_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Markov Renewal Process (MRP). Therefore, we obtain the expected answer to the question in [4] since such an MRP with $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ being the interarrival times can be associated with a m -state MAP and the ρ -mixing property of $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ with geometric rate ensures the geometric convergence of the autocorrelation function of $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. We refer to [1, Chap. XI] for basic properties of MAPs and Markov additive processes.

*IRMAR UMR-CNRS 6625 & INSA, 20 avenue des Buttes de Coesmes, CS 70 839, 35708 Rennes cedex 7, France
Email address: {Loic.Herve,James.Ledoux}@insa-rennes.fr

2 Geometric ρ -mixing of the sequence of interarrivals of an MAP

Let us recall the definition of the ρ -mixing property of a (strictly) stationary sequence of random variables $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ (e.g. see [2]). The ρ -mixing coefficient with time lag $k > 0$, denoted usually by $\rho(k)$, is defined by

$$\rho(k) := \sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \left\{ \left| \text{Corr}(f(T_1, \dots, T_n); h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m})) \right|, \right. \\ \left. f, g \text{ } \mathbb{R}\text{-valued functions such that } \mathbb{E}[|f(T_1, \dots, T_n)|^2] \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[|h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m})|^2] \text{ are finite} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Corr}(f(T_1, \dots, T_n); h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m}))$ is the correlation coefficient of the two square-integrable random variables. Note that $\{\rho(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a non-increasing sequence. Then $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is said to be ρ -mixing if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(k) = 0.$$

When, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the random variable T_n has a moment of order 2, the autocorrelation function of $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as studied in [4], that is $\text{Corr}(T_1; T_{k+1})$ as a function of the time lag k , clearly satisfies

$$\forall k \geq 1, \quad |\text{Corr}(T_1; T_{k+1})| \leq \rho(k). \quad (2)$$

Therefore, any rate of convergence of the ρ -mixing coefficients $\{\rho(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a rate of convergence for the autocorrelation function.

We only outline the main steps to obtain from [3, Prop. 3.1] a geometric convergence rate of $\{\rho(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ for the m -state MRP $\{(X_n, S_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ associated with a m -state MAP. In [4, Section 2], the analysis of the autocorrelation function in the two-states case is based on such an MRP (notation and background in [4] are that of [5]). Recall that a m -state MAP is a bivariate continuous-time Markov process $\{(J_t, N_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $\{1, \dots, m\} \times \mathbb{N}$ where N_t represents the number of arrivals up to time t , while the states of the driving Markov process $\{J_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are called phases. Let S_n be the time at the n th arrival ($S_0 = 0$ a.s.) and let X_n be the state of the driving process just after the n th arrival. Then $\{(X_n, S_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is known to be an MRP with the following semi-Markov kernel Q on $\{1, \dots, m\} \times [0, \infty)$

$$\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^2, \quad Q(x_1; \{x_2\} \times dy) := (e^{D_0 y} D_1)(x_1, x_2) dy \quad (3)$$

parametrized by a pair of $m \times m$ -matrices usually denoted by D_0 and D_1 . The matrix $D_0 + D_1$ is the infinitesimal generator of the background Markov process $\{J_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ which is always assumed to be irreducible, and D_0 is stable. The process $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Markov chain with state space $\mathbb{X} := \{1, \dots, m\}$ and transition probability matrix P :

$$\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{X}^2, \quad P(x_1, x_2) = Q(x_1; \{x_2\} \times [0, \infty)) = ((-D_0)^{-1} D_1)(x_1, x_2). \quad (4)$$

$\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has an invariant probability measure ϕ (i.e. $\phi P = \phi$). It is well-known that, for $n \geq 1$, the interarrival time $T_n := S_n - S_{n-1}$ has a moment of order 2 (whatever the probability distribution of X_0). We refer to [1] for details about the above basic facts on an MAP and its associated MRP.

Let us introduce the $m \times m$ -matrix

$$\Phi := e^\top \phi \quad (5)$$

when e is the m -dimensional row-vector with all components equal to 1. Any \mathbb{R} -valued function v on \mathbb{X} may be identified to a \mathbb{R}^m -dimensional vector. We use the subordinate matrix norm induced by $\ell^2(\phi)$ -norm $\|v\|_2 := \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \mathbb{X}} |v(x)|^2 \phi(x)}$ on \mathbb{R}^m

$$\|M\|_2 := \sup_{v: \|v\|_2=1} \|Mv\|_2.$$

Let \mathbb{E}_ϕ be the expectation with respect to the initial conditions $(X_0, S_0) \sim (\phi, \delta_0)$. Recall that $T_n := S_n - S_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. When $X_0 \sim \phi$, we have (see [3, Section 3]):

1. if g is a \mathbb{R} -valued function such that $\mathbb{E}[|g(X_1, T_1, \dots, X_n, T_n)|] < \infty$, then $\forall k \geq 0, \forall n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[g(X_{k+1}, T_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+n}, T_{k+n}) \mid \sigma(X_l, T_l : l \leq k)] \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{X} \times [0, \infty))^n} Q(X_s; dx_1 \times dz_1) \prod_{i=2}^n Q(x_{i-1}; dx_i \times dz_i) g(x_1, z_1, \dots, x_n, z_n) \\ &= (Q^{\otimes n})(g)(X_k) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $Q^{\otimes n}$ denotes the n -fold kernel product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n Q$ of Q defined in (3).

2. Let f and h be two \mathbb{R} -valued functions such that $\mathbb{E}_\phi[|f(T_1, \dots, T_n)|^2] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}_\phi[|h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m})|^2] < \infty$ for $(k, n) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2, m \in \mathbb{N}$. From (6) with $g(x_1, z_1, \dots, x_{n+k+m}, z_{n+k+m}) \equiv f(z_1, \dots, z_n)h(z_{n+k}, \dots, z_{n+k+m})$, the process $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is stationary and the following covariance formula holds (see [3, Lem. 3.3] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(f(T_1, \dots, T_n); h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\phi[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) (P^{k-1} - \Phi)(Q^{\otimes m+1}(h))(X_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where matrices P, Φ are defined in (4) and (5).

First, note that the random variables $f(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$ in (1) may be assumed to be of \mathbb{L}^2 -norm 1. Thus we just have to deal with covariances. Second, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

and Formula (7) allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Cov}\left(f(T_1, \dots, T_n); h(T_{n+k}, \dots, T_{n+k+m})\right)^2 \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}_\phi \left[|f(T_1, \dots, T_n)|^2 \right] \mathbb{E}_\phi \left[|(P^{k-1} - \Phi)(Q^{\otimes m+1}(h))(X_n)|^2 \right] \\
& = \mathbb{E}_\phi \left[|(P^{k-1} - \Phi)(Q^{\otimes m+1}(h))(X_0)|^2 \right] \quad (\phi \text{ is } P\text{-invariant}) \\
& = \|(P^{k-1} - \Phi)(Q^{\otimes m+1}(h))\|_2^2 \\
& \leq \|P^{k-1} - \Phi\|_2^2 \|Q^{\otimes m+1}(h)\|_2^2 \\
& \leq \|P^{k-1} - \Phi\|_2^2 \quad (\text{since } \|Q^{\otimes m+1}(h)\|_2 \leq 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (1) and (2) that the autocorrelation coefficient $\text{Corr}(T_1; T_{k+1})$ as studied in [4], satisfies

$$\forall k \geq 1, \quad |\text{Corr}(T_1; T_{k+1})| \leq \rho(k) \leq \|P^{k-1} - \Phi\|_2^2. \quad (8)$$

The convergence rate to 0 of the sequence $\{\text{Corr}(T_1; T_{k+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded from above by that of $\{\|P^{k-1} - \Phi\|_2\}_{k \geq 1}$. Under usual assumptions on the MAP, $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is irreducible and aperiodic so that there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|P^k - \Phi\|_2 = O(r^k) \quad (9)$$

with $r = \max(|\lambda|, \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } P \text{ such that } |\lambda| < 1)$. For a stationary Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with general state space, we know from [6, p 200,207] that Property (9) is equivalent to the ρ -mixing property of $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

3 Comments on [4]

In [4], the analysis is based on a known explicit formula of the correlation function in terms of the parameters of the m -state MRP (see [4, (2.6)]). Note that this formula can be obtained using $n = 1, m = 0$ and $f(T_1) = T_1, h(T_{1+k}) = T_{1+k}$ in (7). When $m := 2$ and under standard assumptions on MAPs, matrix P is diagonalizable with two distinct real eigenvalues, 1 and $0 < \lambda < 1$ which has an explicit form in terms of entries of P . Then, the authors can analyze the correlation function with respect to the entries of matrix P [4, (3.4)-(3.7)]. As quoted by the authors, such an analysis would be tedious and difficult with $m > 2$ due to the increasing number of parameters defining an m -state MAP. Note that Inequality (8) and Estimate (9) when $m := 2$ provide the same convergence rate as in [4], that is λ the second eigenvalue of matrix P .

References

- [1] ASMUSSEN, S. *Applied probability and queues* (2003) Springer-Verlag, New York, 2d edition.

- [2] BRADLEY, R. C. (2005) Introduction to strong mixing conditions (Volume I). Technical report, Indiana University.
- [3] FERRÉ, D. HERVÉ, L. AND LEDOUX J. (2012) Limit theorems for stationary Markov processes with L^2 -spectral gap. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, **48**, 396–423.
- [4] RAMIREZ-COBO, P. AND CARRIZOSA, E. (2012) A note on the dependence structure of the two-state Markovian arrival process. *J. Appl. Probab.*, **49**, 295–302.
- [5] RAMIREZ-COBO, P. LILLO, R. E. AND WIPER. M. (2010) Nonidentifiability of the two-state Markovian arrival process. *J. Appl. Probab.*, **47**, 630–649.
- [6] ROSENBLATT., M. (1971) *Markov processes. Structure and asymptotic behavior*. Springer-Verlag, New-York.